

From: Brock Dahl (b) (6)
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2011 2:07 PM
To: (b) (6)
Subject: Article
Attachments: Closing the Transition Gap v2 (clean).docx

(b) (6)

I hope this email finds you well. This is an article, the first part of which you have already seen. The second part is a purely legal analysis, but as usual I wanted to share this with you anyway.

Thank you,

Brock

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 9:20 AM
To: (b) (6)
Cc:
Subject: PrePub Review
Attachments: Closing the Transition Gap v3.docx

From: Pre-publication
Review

Mr. Dahl,

We reviewed your attached paper (your latest revision dated June 14, 2011). As written, it contains no sensitive information and is appropriate for unlimited/unrestricted public distribution.

Please contact us if you have any questions.

-----Original Message-----

From: Brock Dahl (b) (6)
Sent: Sunday, August 07, 2011 12:31 PM
To: (b) (6) To: Pre-publication Review
Subject: Re:

(b) (6)

I trust you are well. I just wanted to double check that you were ok on the below - it is supposed to be running fairly soon.

Kindest Regards,

Brock

-----Original Message-----

From: Brock Dahl (b) (6)
Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2011 12:17 PM
To: (b) (6) To: Pre-publication Review
Subj:

(b) (6)

I am attaching the article I had previously sent on May 19 at 2:06pm. There are no changes to what you have already seen in that article, but there are roughly 8 additional pages on the end of this version (If you look at the Table of Contents, it's the Question of Remedies and Part III all at the very end). Please note that the I footnote my research work in Afghanistan in Part III as part of my work on a Fellowship with the American Society of International Law, making it clear it was not in any way related to previous government employment.

Stay well, and thank you for your continued consideration.

Kindest Regards,

Brock Dahl

(b) (6)



From: Brock Dahl
To: (b) (6)
Subject: RE: Op-Ed up for Publication
Date: Monday, November 16, 2009 10:18:47 AM

(b) (6)

It is my understanding that the editorial was posted this morning. I thought they were supposed to notify me before doing so, but I did not receive word from them. I wanted to let you know asap, before you had to do any further work.

Thank you, again, for your continued support.

Best Regards,

Brock Dahl

-----O (b) (6)

From: (b) (6) To: Pre-publication Review
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2009 9:22 AM
To: (b) (6)
Cc: (b) (6)
Subject: FW: Op-Ed up for Publication
Importance: High

Some of the internal offices are not available to review for prepub until Monday. We'll get you a response as soon as possible.

Thanks...

-----Original Message-----

From: Brock Dahl (b) (6) To: Pre-publication Review
Sent: Thursday, November 12, 2009 4:51 PM
To: (b) (6)
Cc:
Subject: Op-Ed up for Publication
Importance: High

(b) (6)

It has been a busy two weeks. This is a very brief op-ed that is up for consideration (and would be published very soon, if possible). I appreciate your looking at it if you have time soon.

Thank you, as always, for your support.

Best Regards,

Brock Dahl

From: Brock Dahl
To: (b) (6)
Subject: RE: Op-Ed up for Publication
Date: Monday, November 16, 2009 10:22:41 AM

(b) (6)

Thank you, so much. I had just sent you another email regarding a timing glitch. I will ensure that does not happen again in the future. You and your colleagues continue to do an excellent job - and while I am enjoying law school - I regret not being able to work with you all anymore!

Best Regards,

Brock

Or
From: (b) (6)

To: Pre-publication Review

Sent: Monday, November 16, 2009 10:21 AM

To: (b) (6)

Cc: (b) (6)

Subject: FW: Op-Ed up for Publication

Importance: High

Mr. Dahl,

We reviewed your attached paper (b) (4). As written, it contains no sensitive information and is appropriate for unlimited/unrestricted public distribution.

Treasury's ethics office advises that although not required of former Treasury employees, it is suggested that a disclaimer, such as - "The views expressed herein are solely those of the author" - be considered if the topic of the article is/may be controversial.

Please contact us if you have any questions.

Original Message-----

From: Brock Dahl (b) (6)

Sent: Thursday, November 12, 2009 4:51 PM

To: (b) (6)

Cc: (b) (6)

To: Pre-publication Review

Subject: Op-Ed up for Publication

Importance: High

(b) (6)

It has been a busy two weeks. This is a very brief op-ed that is up for consideration (and would be published very soon, if possible). I appreciate your looking at it if you have time soon.

Thank you, as always, for your support.

Best Regards,

Brock Dahl

From: (b) (6) From: Pre-publication Review
Sent: Friday, March 06, 2009 10:52 AM
To: (b) (6)
Cc:
Subject: FW: From Brock Dahl (article up for publication)
Attachments: The Business of War (Draft).doc

We are now in receipt of your document for prepublication review.

We will review soonest and advise.

No response from us does NOT indicate review approval.

-----Original Message---

From: Brock Douglas Dahl (b) (6)
Sent: Thursday, March 05, 2009 11:27 PM
To: (b) (6) To: Pre-publication Review
Cc:
Subject: RE: From Brock Dahl (article up for publication)

(b) (6)
I am attaching the draft of the article discussed below. I apologize for the time frame, but would be incredibly grateful if you could provide any responses by Thursday, 3/12. If I do not hear from you by then, I will assume all is ok.

As I noted below, I have ensured that everything contained in herein has some publicly available reference point - so there should be no issues.

(b) (6)
As these involve some mentionings of Afghanistan and Iraq - just wanted to make sure you saw it.

From: (b) (6) From: Pre-publication Review
To: "Brock Douglas Dahl"
Bcc: (b) (6)
Subject: RE: FW: From Brock Dahl (article up for publication)
Date: Friday, March 06, 2009 5:49:54 PM

Please call my office (b) (6) I need clarification on a couple of paragraphs.

(b) (6)

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Bcc: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: FW: From Brock Dahl (article up for publication)
Date: Monday, March 09, 2009 2:33:06 PM

From: Pre-publication Review

We are finalizing the prepub review results of the paper you submitted over the weekend.

We need you to clarify one point: Are you on a leave of absence (Leave Without Pay - LWOP) from your USG employment or did you resign from USG service?

-----Original Message----- (b) (6)

From: Brock Douglas Dahl [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, March 07, 2009 3:01 PM
To: [REDACTED] To: Pre-publication Review
Subject: RE: FW: From Brock Dahl (article up for publication)

From: (b) (6) [REDACTED] **From:** Pre-publication Review
Sent: Monday, March 09, 2009 6:06 PM
To: (b) (6) [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Article for Publication
Attachments: The Business of War (Draft).doc

Mr. Dahl,

We reviewed your attached paper (your latest revision dated March 7, 2009). As written, it contains no sensitive information and is appropriate for unlimited/unrestricted public distribution.

Treasury's ethics office advises that although not required of former Treasury employees, it is suggested that a disclaimer, such as - "The views expressed herein are solely those of the author" - be considered if the topic of the article is/may be controversial.

Please contact us if you have any questions.

-----Original Message-----

From: Brock Douglas Dahl (b) (6)
Sent: Saturday, March 07, 2009 3:01 PM
To: (b) (6) [REDACTED] **To:** Pre-publication Review
Subject: RE: FW: From Brock Dahl (article up for publication)

(b) (6) [REDACTED]

Thank you, again, for the advice and swift assistance you have given.

Adjustments made in the attached copy.

All my best,

Brock